

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waybi.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/751,086	09/23/2004	Daniel Partlow	PARTLOW	2155		
545 ROGER PITT	7590 03/19/200	8	EXAM	UNER		
KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART PRESTON GATES ELLIS LLP 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE 33RD FLOOR			NORMAN,	NORMAN, SAMICA L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	NY 10022-6030		3696			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			03/19/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/751,086	PARTLOW ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Samica L. Norman	3696			

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address

Period for Re	ply
WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perio - Failure to r Any reply r	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 13/3(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled of 1/3 (a) the contraction. The communication of 1/3 (b) the communication of 1/3 (c) the communicatio
Status	
2a)☐ This	sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 September 2004</u> . s action is FINAL . 2b
.—	sed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of	of Claims
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)□ Cla 7)□ Cla	im(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. im(s) is/are allowed. im(s) is/are rejected. im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application I	Papers
10)☐ The App Rep	specification is objected to by the Examiner. drawing(s) filed on is/are: a] accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. licant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). lacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119
a)	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). b Some * c None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)	_
1) Notice of E	Poforonoon Cited (PTO 909)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date .	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/751,086 Page 2

Art Unit: 3696

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-3, 5-7, 11 and 12, drawn to a method for creating and valuing financial instruments, classified in class 705, subclass 36R.
 - Claim 4, drawn to a method for disseminating information for a financial instrument, classified in class 705, subclass 36R.
 - III. Claims 8-10, drawn to a computer-implemented method for determining the volatility of financial instruments, classified in class 705, subclass 36R.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention I relates to a method for creating and valuing financial instruments. The subcombination has separate utility such as Invention II relates to a method for disseminating information for a financial instrument.
- 3. The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the

Application/Control Number: 10/751,086

Art Unit: 3696

allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Page 3

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention II relates to a method for disseminating information for a financial instrument. The subcombination has separate utility such as Invention III relates to a computer-implemented method for determining the volatility of financial instruments.
- 5. The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,086 Page 4

Art Unit: 3696

6. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37

CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to

Art Unit: 3696

petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- A telephone call was made to Anthony Handal on March 10, 2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samica L. Norman whose telephone number is (571)270-1371.
 The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 6:30a-4p, w/ 1st Fri off & 2nd 6:30a-3p.
- If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Dixon can be reached on (571) 272-6703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,086 Page 6

Art Unit: 3696

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ella Colbert/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3696

sln